

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN MING,

Petitioner

v.

FRANKLIN TENNIS, et al.,

Respondents.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 06-CV-1673

**FILED**

ORDER

MAY 28 2015

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk  
By \_\_\_\_\_ Dep. Clerk

AND NOW, this 28<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015, upon consideration of *pro se*

Petitioner's "Independent Action for Relief From Final Order, or, Alternatively, Motion for

Relief from Final Order Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 60(b)," **IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. Petitioner's Motion is **DENIED**; and,
2. A certificate of appealability will not issue because reasonable jurists would not debate the correctness of this court's procedural ruling. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 120 S.Ct. 1595 (2000).

  
RONALD L. BUCKWALTER, J.